



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

Fiscal Note 2017 Biennium

Bill #	SB0245	Title:	Revise laws related to late season cow elk hunts
Primary Sponsor:	Kary, Douglas (Doug)	Status:	As Introduced

- | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2016 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2017 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2018 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2019 Difference</u>
Expenditures:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$18,413	\$18,689	\$18,970	\$19,254
Revenue:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$95,000	\$95,000	\$95,000	\$95,000
Net Impact-General Fund Balance:	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

Description of fiscal impact: SB 245 establishes a postseason elk population management hunting season that requires eligible hunters to buy a \$10 endorsement which will generate additional state special revenue.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

1. SB 245 establishes a postseason elk population management hunting season to ensure that populations remain at or below sustainable population numbers.
2. The postseason hunt would run from December 15 through February 15.
3. The postseason elk population management hunting season is one option FWP may implement for late season elk hunts.
4. Wildlife Division staff would most likely use a postseason elk hunting season in those hunting districts that are sufficiently over population objectives and would not require significant real-time harvest monitoring to prevent overharvest in the districts.
5. Approximately 10% of the 162 hunting districts are assumed to be sufficiently over population objectives.

6. Resident and nonresident hunters who possess unused elk tags may purchase a \$10 postseason management hunting season endorsement which entitles them to hunt and possess an elk carcass during the postseason hunt.
7. Based on the number of elk tags issued and general season success rates, there are 95,000 hunters eligible to participate.
8. Of the eligible hunters, it is assumed that 10% or 9,500 hunters would purchase the postseason management hunting season endorsement.
9. Revenue generated from the postseason management hunting season endorsement would be \$95,000 beginning in fiscal year 2016.
10. Additional travel costs incurred by enforcement personnel to patrol the districts where postseason hunts occur are estimated to be \$18,413.

	<u>FY 2016 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2017 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2018 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2019 Difference</u>
<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>				
<u>Expenditures:</u>				
Operating Expenses	\$18,413	\$18,689	\$18,970	\$19,254
TOTAL Expenditures	\$18,413	\$18,689	\$18,970	\$19,254
<u>Funding of Expenditures:</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$18,413	\$18,689	\$18,970	\$19,254
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	\$18,413	\$18,689	\$18,970	\$19,254
<u>Revenues:</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$95,000	\$95,000	\$95,000	\$95,000
TOTAL Revenues	\$95,000	\$95,000	\$95,000	\$95,000
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$76,587	\$76,311	\$76,030	\$75,746

Sponsor's Initials

Date

Budget Director's Initials

Date